

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,230	12/03/2001	Kil Yong Sung	01-12-1826	8084	
23388 7	590 01/29/2004	EXAMINER		NER	
TROJAN LAW OFFICES 9250 WILSHIRE BLVD			COCKS, JOSIAH C		
SUITE 325	KE DEVD	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEVERLY HI	LLS, CA 90212		3749	и	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	. 1(°)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			7
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
_	10/005,230	SUNG, KIL YONG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Josiah C. Cocks	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cov rsh et	with the correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u>	and amendment filed 1	<u>1/13/03</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in abey oction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14).	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies retic priority under 35 U.S. irst sentence of the special rovisional application has atic priority under 35 U.S.	a Application No en received in this National Stage ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission of the request for continued examination- and the accompanying amendment filed on 11/13/03 have been entered.

Specification

2. The Office Action mailed 6/13/03 indicated that the replacement abstract filed 1/31/03 was not in a proper form and was not entered. This objection to the abstract is withdrawn. The replacement abstract filed 1/31/03 has been entered into the application.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/005,230

Art Unit: 3749

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,325,617 ("the '617 patent") in view of *Liang* (US # 6,012,916).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 2 and 3 of this application are broader in scope but claiming the same invention as claim 1 of the '617 patent except for the additional limitation that the locking lever is biased "from beneath by an arm spring." The '671 patent also claims that locking lever is biased in a position capable of engaging a portion of the trigger but does recite the location and means of the biasing.

However, *Liang* is cited to show a lighter in the same field of endeavor as the '671 patent wherein the lighter of *Liang* includes a biasing means for safety locking button that is in the form of an arm spring (2341) located beneath the locking portion (see Figs. 4 and 5). The examiner considers that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have turned to other lighters in the art to

Art Unit: 3749

determine a means for biasing the locking lever of the '617 patent. In doing so, this person ordinary skill in the art would be prompted to include an arm spring located below the locking lever as it is recognized that an arm spring and its location beneath a locking portion are well known in the art for providing an upwards biasing force (see *Liang*, col. 2, lines 43-50 and Figs. 2-5). For these reasons the examiner considers that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include arm spring beneath the locking lever of the '617 patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2 and 3 are considered allowable over the prior art and would be allowed upon filing of a proper Terminal Disclaimer as noted in item 2 above.

USPTO Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (703) 305-0450. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,230 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

jcc

January 26, 2004

JOŠIAH COCKS PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3749